



The Human Rights Tribunal International

The Government of The United States of America
RR1 Box #4
The United States of America
Global Postal Code-NAC: 850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9:00 - 8:30 UTC-6 Monday - Friday
Website: <http://humanrightstribunal.international>
Email: violations@humanrightstribunal.international



The Human Rights Tribunal
Email: violations@humanrightstribunal.international



*In the matter of **Graham Bradford Lascsak vs. Eastland County Prison In Eastland, Texas Trustee/Director: Lynn Brownlee, respondent***

CASE NUMBER: HRTI- 00H5-F2F5-2L7U-3C62-W68Z50N08T40S34Q

JUDGMENT

Comes now, a Judgment and Order from **The Human Rights Tribunal International,**

WHEREAS, the tort claimant has claimed within the evidence submitted to this tribunal and published for over 45 days in the newspaper that the Respondent has and continues to commit violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

WHEREAS, the tort claimant has claimed multiple violations under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights on all Thirty (30) Articles of the UDHR, and

WHEREAS, Charged with:

forced association, slavery, rape, attempted exile, gas lighting, international trespass, Psychological experimentation.

Violations of all 30 articles of UDHR assessed value- 22.5 million plus a million for every torture claim, the Respondent has committed multiple Human Rights violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights starting with Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; "All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood. "

Violations of Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Multiple violations of Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights placed the claimant in harm's way in torturous conditions in exiled state wherein the most basic human needs are being deprived from claimant that afflicts misery, torture and psychological abuse, and



WHEREAS, Respondent violated the claimant's rights under Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

"Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty."

Violations of Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondent violated Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights by placing the claimant in torturous conditions by reducing claimants status to "in question" in the sense of no guilty plea trial which violates due process on behalf of claimant by persecution of political belief's and international status and standing and reducing the sanctity of the family by destroying the father, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

"Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person."

Violations of Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondent violate Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights by placing the claimant in danger by torturous conditions wherein basic human rights are deprived, liberty and freedom is reduced to almost non-existent. Claimant's person, reputation and identity has been tainted and claimant is deprived of handling claimant's affairs violating Natural Law by interfering between the intangible rights of claimant and claimant's tangible private property and intellectual property rights, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 4 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;"No one shall be held in slavery or servitude;

Slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms. "

Violations of Article 4 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Claimant is forced into slavery as claimants energy serves the Bankers Manifesto also known as a declaration of war wherein claimant is made a perpetual debtor to a child support account and incarcerated if such balance is not kept or incarcerated for claimant attempting to see claimants child much like experimentation on monkeys, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

"No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."

Violations of Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:



Respondents subjected claimant to physical restraint, claimant is forced into environment conditions that cause discomfort and misery which at night deprives sleep and further subjects the claimant to temperature conditions that gaslights the sanity of the claimant. Claimant is incarcerated which is torture, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 6 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.”

Violation of Article 6 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents committed identity theft by falsifying the record and trafficking in persons which violates due process for the claimant before the law, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 7 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.”

Violation of Article 7 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents placed claimant into slavery which places claimant in the status as property which is non-recognition of a human being with human rights, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 8 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunal for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.”

Violation of Article 8 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents refuse the claimant a competent national tribunal by forced association into private court interfering with claimant’s due process, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.”

Violation of Article 9 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondent’s placed claimant in detention in the form of physical incarceration for an arrest with no warrant with no existing laws, no existing bilateral social compact agreement and in the form of exile where all basic human rights are being deprived and cruel and unusual punishment, and



WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 10 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

Violation of Article 10 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondent’s force a private company court vacant any and all public government apparatus in which ventures incentives and profits by foreign emoluments in secret society not independent and cannot be impartial due to profits while fighting with the Bankers within its declaration of war, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 11 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence.”

Violations of Article 11 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Claimant allegedly charged with a penal offence and claimant has been incarcerated without any due process which has placed claimant into exile, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 12 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks. “

Violations of Article 12 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents are engaged in forced separation between claimant and claimants child, respondents are violating Natural Law by interfering between the intangible rights of claimant and claimants tangible private settled home which has forced claimant to be subjected to invasion of private affairs. The charges brought against claimant have damaged claimant’s relationship’s with claimant and claimant’s local society which hinders growth and advancement for the claimant, and



WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.”

Violations of Article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

The claimant’s has been confine to a feet by feet living space and has freedom of mobility reduced to one living quarters in a structured building with very little time to go outside, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to a Nationality”

“(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.

Violations of Article 15 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

The respondents denied claimant the benefits of Nationality by refusing the claimant’s use of the courts claimant is contracted with trespassing on a bilateral social compact agreement and respondents forced opposition against the genius republic form of government forcing claimant into communism, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 17 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.”

“(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.

Violations of Article 17 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondents have incarcerated the claimant keeping the claimant from his private property such as home, automobile, private possessions and assets. Respondents have forced claimant into a state of exile wherein the claimant has no private property rights, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.”

“(2) No one may be compelled to belong to an association.”

Violations of Article 20 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:



(1) Claimant as trustee over claimant's child has privileges to the child as sanction of the family in private posterity and the rights of a family to associate.

(2) Claimant is forced into association with respondents, incarcerated and compelled to perform in the acts of inmate as a slave prisoner, and

WHEREAS, Respondent Violated the claimant rights under Article 28 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized. “

Violations of Article 28 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

Respondent has violated this Article due to continual trespass against the social and international order, and

WHEREAS, Respondent, Violated the claimant rights under Article 29 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights;

“(1) Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible. (2) In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society.

Violations of Article 29 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

(1) Respondents are confining the claimant and have created conditions that won't allow the claimant to grow and utilize the claimants freedom and talents.

(2) The respondents compel performance of claimant under duress violating all human rights of the claimant and have cause cruel and unusual punishment grossly nefarious in nature.



Christopher T. Milowski

Trent Windsley Sailor



Registered with
Copyright Registrar

Adam Samuel Ben-Canaan

990103

Adam Samuel Ben-Canaan
Christopher T. Milowski
Trent Windsley Sailor
Signed and Sealed

VERIFICATION

I, Valerie Zicaro, (hereinafter “Clerk”) hereby verify that the signatures of these three International Notaries on this Judgment and order, to the best of the Clerks knowledge and belief are authentic.



Valerie Zicaro, Clerk for the Court

JUDGMENT

NOW THEREFORE:

BE IT JUDGED, that the claims brought to the Human Rights Tribunal International gives witness to the existence of Human Rights Violations against every one of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights have occurred and that the evidence proves that Respondent did cause a larg and massive amount of human rights abuses and did bear false witness to gaslight the claimant. Including threats to incite violence, the enslavement of the claimant through compelled performance where no contract exists which constitutes extortion. Forced association through the theft of time and labor and attempt to exile without merit and without corroborating evidence, but with malicious intent through gaslighting manipulation and psychological experimentation against the claimant, and

The respondents are torturing the claimant shall be naturalized to be subjected to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Law of Nations customary international law, and

The HRTI will uphold the freedom of choice to a Nationality and promote the process of free will and choice toward self-determination which are protected in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and



Affirmed and Acknowledged by the Human Rights Tribunal on this 276th Day in the year of Yahweh 6021 Translation 20th Day of December, 2019)



Adam Samuel Ben-Canaan
Christopher T. Milowski
Trent Windsley Sailor

VERIFICATION

I, Valerie Zicaro, (hereinafter “Clerk”) hereby verify that the signatures of these three International Notaries on this Judgment and order, to the best of the Clerks knowledge and belief are authentic.



Valerie Zicaro, Clerk for the Court



*In the matter of Graham Bradford Lascsak vs. Eastland County Prison In
Eastland, Texas Trustee/Director: Lynn Brownlee, respondent*

CASE NUMBER: HRTI- 00H5-F2F5-2L7U-3C62-W68Z50N08T40S34Q

ORDERS

BE IT ORDERED, that Respondent, are under arrest for the 30 Human Rights Violations against the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and under international law, thereby giving Interpol jurisdiction on the local, state and federal level in this particular case in accordance with international law if it so chooses to intervene, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that the respondent will free the claimant out of incarceration and torture immediately without denial and without delay, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that all sovereignty, freedoms, liberties, rights, privileges, immunities, reputation in good standing, Nationality, and peace is hereby upheld to the claimant, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that any further evidence of violations of the UDHR or any other deprivation of character will be sent to the Law Department for the Government of The United States of America for further review for possible further Human Right Violations under international law, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that no retaliation or harassment against the Government of The United States of America, its office holders, or any other American National shall be tolerated, any and all retaliation by any and all shall be resisted with automatic arrest of the Human Rights Violator and tried in this Human Rights Tribunal immediately upon a proper affidavit and evidence to support the violation, and

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that any articles on the internet that attempt to distort the history of The United States of America, the Government of The United States of America, and the American Nationals thereof, is an attempt to interfere with the Social Compact Agreement of its people and is an act of terrorism against The United States of America and in violation of the UDHR, and



FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that the Law Department for the Government of The United States of America reserves the right to utilize this Judgment and Order and any and all evidence herewith in any future charges or court actions in this or other court of Law.

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED, that this Judgment and Order be sent to The office of the Treasury for The United States of America, Global Postal Code: NAC: 850H2 MR7C8-0007, The United States of America For the purpose of attaching a fine for the monetary damages associated with Violations of all 30 Articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

GENERAL ORDER

FURTHER BE IT ORDERED UNDER GENERAL ORDER: that any and all Foreign Monarchs, Governments, incorporated and/or unincorporated Associations, Agencies or agents thereof are hereby ordered to cease and desist any and all interference or disruptive actions towards The United States of America, the Government of The United States of America, American Nationals, the Social Compact Agreement of its Nationals, the power of attorney, its Law form, and freedoms thereto, and

Affirmed and Acknowledged by the Human Rights Tribunal on this 276th Day in the year of Yahweh 6021 Translation 20th Day of December, 2019)



Adam Samuel Ben-Canaan
Christopher T. Milowski
Trent Windsley Sailor

VERIFICATION

I, Valerie Zicaro, (hereinafter “Clerk”) hereby verify that the signatures of these three International Notaries on this Judgment and order, to the best of the Clerks knowledge and belief are authentic.

Valerie Zicaro, Clerk for the Court





Government of The United States of America
Rural Free Delivery Route 1

office of the registrar

Box #4
The United States of America
Global Postal Code-NAC: 850H2 MR7C8

Office hours: 9:00 - 9:00 UTC-6 Monday - Friday
Phone: (602) 845-0473
Email: registrar@generalpostoffice.org



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I, **Alice Cenicerros**, certify **under penalty of bearing false witness** under the laws of The United States of America **that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct** according to the best of my current information, knowledge, and belief.

The office of the registrar accepts and acknowledges the document:

JUDGMENT AND ORDER -

CASE # HRTI- 00H5-F2F5-2L7U-3C62-W68Z50N08T40S34Q

In the matter of **Graham Bradford Lascsak** vs.

Eastland County Prison In Eastland, Texas Trustee/Director: Lynn Brownlee, respondent

and is recorded on:

276th day in the year of Yahweh, 6021

Document Date

Translated Date: December 20, 2019

7:19 UTC-6

Time

RH-20191223-B14C-424D-8E2C-379638011223

Record File Number

File Name: **20191223-HRTI Judgement and Order Graham Bradford Lascsak**

CERTIFIED COPY OF RECORDED DOCUMENT

This is a true and exact reproduction of the document officially recorded and placed on file in the office of the registrar for The United States of America.

Date Received: 279th day in the year of Yahweh, 6021

Date Issued: 279th day in the year of Yahweh, 6021

Translated Date: December 23, 2019

This copy is not valid unless displaying the Record File Number, Seal, and signature of the registrar for The United States of America.



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**
Copyright Registration Service Number: **4056990103**