



The Government of The United States of America
War Crimes Tribunal

for The United States of America

Rural Free Delivery Route 1, Box 22
The United States of America
Global Postal Code-NAC: 850H2 MR7C8
Phone: 774-327-9627
Website: <http://generalpostmastercouncil.com>
Email: wctclerk@generalpostoffice.org



In the matter of Claimant: American National Union of The United State of America vs. Respondent: Richard Frye

Case # WCT-20210803-000001

JUDGMENT

Comes now, a Judgment and Order from **The War Crimes Tribunal**,

WHEREAS, the claimant has claimed within the evidence submitted to this tribunal and published International True Bill of Indictment, the Respondent committed war crimes by violating Article 1 of the Nuremberg Code, Communism-a violation of all of Public Laws-101 and Public Law 101-4, a violation of all Public Laws-101, and pain compliance also classified as torture, and

WHEREAS, the Tribunal has examined the evidence and deliberated the crucial elements of the case with fairness in non-arbitrary sentencing. The punishment in the form of deprivation of fundamental liberty and human rights is of such serious importance under the rule of law for the War Crimes Tribunal, ensuring the most severe crimes of concern to The United States of America and the International community do not go unpunished and are not in conflict with the Law of Nations, and

WHEREAS, it is determined by the Tribunal the Respondent violated Article 1 of Nuremberg Code by depriving a civilian of his fundamental human rights, the right of choice and consent to voluntary medical experimentation. The Respondent furthermore abused his position of authority to coerce the civilian into compliance with the medical experimentation. Respondent ordered the civilian inhabitant to consent to medical experimentation without concern or the ability to confirm the civilian would suffer no harm. Furthermore, the Respondent has a duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.

Nuremberg Code -The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress,



Registered with the **IP Rights Office**

Copyright Registration Service Number: **4056990103WCT-20210803-000001**

overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. The latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

WHEREAS, the Tribunal finds the Respondent has unequivocally demonstrated the Respondent's philosophical, social, political and economic ideology known as Communism outlawed in The United States of America. The Respondent is guilty of Communism, a violation of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights classified as Public Law within the States of the Union within The United States of America. The Respondent is in violation of all Public Laws-101, and

WHEREAS, the office of the Treasury for the Government of The United States of America has declared each international violation of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to have a value in damages of +750,000 Continental Dollars for each count, and

WHEREAS, the Respondent is in violation of all Public Laws-101 with an assessed value of +22.5 million the Respondent is ordered to pay the assessed value in Continental Dollars or the equivalent in silver bullion (Troy ounce, .999 pure silver), and

International Translation

A Classification of Public Laws-101 Published in the Legal Notice section of the Continental Free Press News as and International Public Notice can be found here: [LINK](#)

WHEREAS, the Tribunal finds the Respondent to be an instrument of a dictatorial order enforcing Communism, which deprives inhabitants of advancement and enjoyment of society and imposes tyranny wherein human rights are not honored or enforced for the inhabitants, but those are honored and enforced for the Respondents in collaboration and collusion, conspiring with the lawyers in the American BAR association, and the Supreme Court of Ohio, and

WHEREAS, the Tribunal finds the Respondent guilty of pain compliance classified as torture a violation of all Public Laws-101, and

WHEREAS, the Tribunal finds the American BAR association and Supreme Court of Ohio to be criminal organizations, that have established a private legal society granting themselves separate privileges, using foreign emoluments, through foreign political subdivisions of the Crown Corporation masquerading as nation States in violation of the Law of Nations without a social compact and therefore lacks the authority to administer justice on behalf of the inhabitants, and

The Law of Nations Chapter 13 Book 1 Statute §158 A nation ought to make justice reign. Next to the care of religion, one of the principal duties of a nation relates to justice. They ought to employ their utmost attention in causing it to prevail in the state, and to take proper measures for having it dispensed to everyone in the most certain, the most speedy, and the least burthensome manner. This obligation



Registered with the IP Rights Office

Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103WCT-20210803-000001

flows from the object proposed by uniting in civil society, and from the social compact itself. We have seen (§15) that men have bound themselves by the engagements of society, and consented to divest themselves, in its favor, of a part of their natural liberty, only with a view of peaceably enjoying what belongs to them and obtaining justice with certainty. The nation would therefore neglect her duty to herself, and deceive the individuals, if she did not seriously endeavor to make the strictest justice prevail. This attention she owes to her own happiness, repose, and prosperity. Confusion, disorder, and despondency will soon arise in a state, when the citizens are not sure of easily and speedily obtaining justice in all their disputes: without this, the civil virtues will become extinguished, and the society weakened.

The Law of Nations Chapter 3: Of the Constitution of a State, and the Duties and Rights of the Nation in this respect.

§26. Of public authority. We have seen already that every political society must necessarily establish a public authority, to regulate their common affairs,—to prescribe to each individual the conduct he ought to observe with a view to the public welfare,—and to possess the means of procuring obedience. This authority essentially belongs to the body of the society; but it may be exercised in a variety of ways; and every society has a right to choose that mode which suits it best.

§27. What is the constitution of a state. The fundamental regulation that determines the manner in which the public authority is to be executed, is what forms the [9] constitution of the state. In this is seen the form in which the nation acts in quality of [92] a body-politic,—how and by whom the people are to be governed,— and what are the rights and duties of the governors. This constitution is in fact nothing more than the establishment of the order in which a nation proposes to labor in common for obtaining those advantages with a view to which the political society was established.

§28. The nation ought to chuse the best constitution. The perfection of a state, and its aptitude to attain the ends of society, must then depend on its constitution: consequently the most important concern of a nation that forms a political society, and its first and most essential duty towards itself, is to choose the best constitution possible, and that most suitable to its circumstances. When it makes this choice, it lays the foundation of its own preservation, safety, perfection, and happiness:—it cannot take too much care in placing these on a solid basis.

§29. Of political, fundamental, and civil laws. The laws are regulations established by public authority, to be observed in society. All these ought to relate to the welfare of the state and of the citizens. The laws made directly with a view to the public welfare are political laws; and in this class, those that concern the body itself and the being of the society, the form of government, the manner in which the public authority is to be exerted,—those, in a word, which together form the constitution of the state, are the fundamental laws.



The civil laws are those that regulate the rights and conduct of the citizens among themselves. Every nation that would not be wanting to itself, ought to apply its utmost care in establishing these laws, and principally its fundamental laws,—in establishing them, I say, with wisdom, in a manner suitable to the genius of the people, and to all the circumstances in which they may be placed: they ought to determine them and make them known with plainness and precision, to the end that they may possess stability, that they may not be eluded, and, that they may create, if possible, no dissension—that, on the one hand, he or they to whom the exercise of the sovereign power is committed, and the citizens, on the other, may equally know their duty, and their rights. It is not here necessary to consider in detail, what that constitution and those laws ought to be:—this discussion belongs to public law and politics. Besides, the laws and constitutions of different states must necessarily vary according to the disposition [93] of the people, and other circumstances. In the Law of Nations we must adhere to generals. We here consider the duty of a nation towards itself, principally to determine the conduct that it ought to observe in that great society which nature has established among all nations. These duties give it rights, that serve as a rule to establish what it may require from other nations, and reciprocally what others may require from it.

§30. Of the support of the constitution and obedience to the laws. *The constitution and laws of a state are the basis of the public tranquility, the firmest support of political authority, and a security for the liberty of the citizens. But this constitution is a vain [10] phantom, and the best laws are useless, if they be not religiously observed: the nation ought then to watch very attentively, in order to render them equally respected by those who govern, and by the people destined to obey. To attack the constitution of the state, and to violate its laws, is a capital crime against society; and if those guilty of it are invested with authority, they add to this crime a perfidious abuse of the power with which they are in trusted. The nation ought constantly to repress them with its utmost vigor and vigilance, as the importance of the case requires. It is very uncommon to see the laws and constitution of a state openly and boldly opposed: it is against silent and gradual attacks that a nation ought to be particularly on its guard. Sudden revolutions strike the imaginations of men: they are detailed in history; their secret springs are developed. But we overlook the changes that insensibly happen by a long train of steps that are but slightly marked. It would be rendering nations an important service, to shew from history, how many states have thus entirely changed their nature, and lost their original constitution. This would awaken the attention of man-kind:—impressed thenceforward with this excellent maxim (no less essential in politics than in morals), principals obsta, 9—they would no longer shut their eyes against innovations, which, though inconsiderable in themselves, may serve as steps to mount to higher and more pernicious enterprises.*

§31. The rights of a nation with respect to its constitution and government. *The consequences of a good or bad constitution being of such importance, and the nation being strictly obliged to procure, as far as possible, the best and most convenient one, it has a right to every thing necessary to enable it to fulfil this obligation (§18). It is then manifest that a nation has an indisputable right to form, maintain, and perfect its constitution,—to regulate at pleasure every thing relating to the government,—and that no person can have a just right to hinder it. Government is established only for the sake of the nation, with a view to its safety and happiness.*



Registered with the IP Rights Office

Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103WCT-20210803-000001

§32. It may reform the government.

If any nation is dissatisfied with the public administration, it may apply the necessary remedies, and reform the government. But observe that I say “the nation”; for I am very far from meaning to authorize a few malcontents or incendiaries to give disturbance to their governors by exciting murmurs and seditions. None but the body of a nation have a right to check those at the helm when they abuse their power. When the nation is silent and obeys, the people are considered as approving the conduct of their superiors, or at least finding it supportable; and it is not the business of a small number of citizens to put the state in danger, under the pretense of reforming it.

§33. And may change the constitution.

In virtue of the same principles, it is certain that if the nation is uneasy under its constitution, it has a right to change it. There can be no difficulty in the case, if the whole nation be unanimously inclined to make this change. But it is asked, what is to be done if the people are divided? In the ordinary management of the state, the opinion of the majority must pass without dispute for that of the whole nation; otherwise it would be almost impossible for the society ever to take any resolution. It appears then by parity of reasoning, that a nation may change the constitution of the state by a majority of votes; and whenever there is nothing in this change that can be considered as contrary to the act of civil association, or to the intention of those united under it, the whole are bound to conform to the resolution of the majority. But if the question be, to quit a form of government, to which alone it appeared that the people were willing to submit on their entering into the bonds of society,—if the greater part of a free people, after the example of the Jews in the time of Samuel, are weary of liberty, and [95] resolved to submit to the authority of a monarch,—those citizens who are more jealous of that privilege, so invaluable to those who have tasted it,—though obliged to suffer the majority to do as they please,—are under no obligation at all to submit to the new government: they may quit a society which seems to have dissolved itself in order to unite again under another form: they have a right to retire elsewhere, to sell their lands, and take with them all their effects.

§34. Of the legislative power, and whether it can change the constitution.

Here again a very important question presents itself. It essentially belongs to the society to make laws both in relation to the manner in which it desires to be governed, and to the conduct of the citizens:—this is called the legislative power. The nation may in trust the exercise of it to the prince, or to an assembly; or to that assembly and the prince jointly; who have then a right to make new laws and to repeal old ones. It is asked whether their power extends to the fundamental laws,—whether they may change the constitution of the state? The principles we have laid down lead us to decide with certainty, that the authority of these legislators does not extend so far, and that they ought to consider the fundamental laws as sacred, if the nation has not, in very express terms, given them power to change them. For the constitution of the state ought to possess stability: and since that was first established by the nation, which afterwards in trusted certain persons with the legislative power, the fundamental laws are excepted from their commission. It is visible that the society only intended to make provision for having the state constantly furnished with laws suited to particular conjunctures, and, for that purpose, gave the legislature the power of abrogating the ancient civil and political laws that were not fundamental, and of making new ones: but nothing leads us to think that it meant to submit the constitution itself to their will. In short, it is from the constitution that those legislators derive their power: how then can they



Registered with the IP Rights Office

Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103WCT-20210803-000001

change it, without destroying the foundation of their own authority? By the fundamental laws of England, the two houses of parliament, in concert with the king, exercise the legislative power: but if the two houses should resolve to suppress themselves, and to invest the king with full and absolute authority, certainly the nation would [12] not suffer it. And who would dare to assert that they would not have a right to oppose it? But if the [96] parliament entered into a debate on making so considerable a change, and the whole nation was voluntarily silent upon it, this would be considered as an approbation of the act of its representatives.

§35. The nation ought not to attempt it without great caution. But in treating here of the change of the constitution, we treat only of the right: the question of expediency belongs to politics. We shall therefore only observe in general, that, great changes in a state being delicate and dangerous operations, and frequent changes being in their own nature prejudicial, a people ought to be very circumspect in this point, and never be inclined to make innovations without the most pressing reasons, or an absolute necessity. The fickleness of the Athenians was ever inimical to the happiness of the republic, and at length proved fatal to that liberty of which they were so jealous, without knowing how to enjoy it.

§36. It is the judge of all disputes relating to the government. We may conclude from what has been said (§31), that if any disputes arise in a state respecting the fundamental laws, the public administration, or the rights of the different powers of which it is composed, it belongs to the nation alone to judge and determine them conformably to its political constitution.

§37. No foreign power has a right to interfere. In short, all these affairs being solely a national concern, no foreign power has a right to interfere in them, nor ought to intermeddle with them otherwise than by its good offices, unless requested to do it, or induced by particular reasons. If any intrude into the domestic concerns of another nation, and attempt to put a constraint on its deliberations, they do it an injury.

JUDGMENT

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT JUDGED, that the claims brought forward to the War Crimes Tribunal gives witness to the existence of war crimes under the Nuremburg Code and violations of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights known as Public Law-101. The Tribunal finds the evidence proves the Respondent had malicious intent through communism in totalitarian form against the inhabitants and Claimants.

CASE NUMBER: WCT 20210803-000001



Registered with the IP Rights Office

Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103WCT-20210803-000001

ORDERS

BE IT ORDERED, that Respondent Richard Frye operating under Lawyer registration number 001102 within the Supreme Court of Ohio, be permanently removed from administering justice within the metes and bounds and seaward boundaries of The United States of America.

BE IT ORDERED, that Respondent has a Common Law Lien published in the American Herald news-paper and placed on his person and personal property in the amount of one hundred million U.S. dollars.

BE IT ORDERED, Respondent is hereby immediately exiled and deported from the metes and bounds and seaward boundaries of The United States of America.

BE IT ORDERED, Respondent will receive the death penalty and face a firing squad if he returns to The United States of America or if the civilian/inhabitant Sylvaun A Latham dies within the next 3 years and the cause of death is determined by independent autopsy to be caused by Respondents ordered medical experimental injection.

BE IT ORDERED, the American BAR Association and the Supreme Court of Ohio are hereby classified as criminal organizations with no legal authority to administer justice lacking a written and published social compact agreement within the metes and bounds and seaward boundaries of The United States of America.

ORDERED THIS 176th DAY IN THE YEAR YAHWEH 6023, translation date September 11, 2021.



Registered with the IP Rights Office

Copyright Registration Service Number: 4056990103WCT-20210803-000001